



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/014,392	10/22/2001	Johannes J. Verboom	18504/333	1059
7590 03/15/2005			EXAMINER	
Oppenheimer Wolff & Donnelly LLP Suite 3300 45 South Seventh Street Minneapolis, MN 55402-1609			TORRES, JOSEPH D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2133	
			DATE MAILED: 03/15/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/014,392	VERBOOM, JOHANNES J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Joseph D. Torres	2133
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28	3 February 2005.	
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ T	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under the practice under the practice.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 February 2005 is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ on the drawing(s) be held in abeyant rection is required if the drawing(	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a light section.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date
Paper No(s)/Mail Date		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings were received on 10/22/2001. These drawings are accepted.

## Specification

2. In view of the amendment filed 02/28/2005, the Examiner withdraws all objections to the abstract.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 02/28/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant contends, ", Kuroda outlines a storage system which utilizes disk pre-pits in order to arrange data. This pre-pit information is stored on the disk by the media manufacturer prior to delivery or use in a data storage system".

Col. 5, lines 48-49 in Kuroda teach that one recording sector includes 26 sync frames (Note: a recording sector is a data storage area for user data during use of the recording media and a sync frame is a recording unit for accessing data from the recording media created at the time data is to be recorded to the frame). Col. 5, lines 59-61 in Kuroda teach that pre-information is recorded in a portion of a length of 14T in the head part of the sync frame from a position of 2T from the start position of each

Application/Control Number: 10/014,392

Art Unit: 2133

sync frame, hence the pre-information is placed at precise position within a sync frame and is done so for all 26 sync frames in a recording sector so that the pre-information is interleaved between data on storage area recording sector.

The Examiner asserts that "pre-pit information" is not "pre-information" as taught in Kuroda.

The Applicant contends, "The Kuroda et al. storage system is limited to the physical structure located on the surface of the media".

Col. 6, lines 30-40 in Kuroda teach that pre-information depends only on the sync frame structure not the pre-pits.

The Examiner disagrees with the applicant and maintains all rejections of claims 1-31. All amendments and arguments by the applicant have been considered. It is the Examiner's conclusion that claims 1-31 are not patentably distinct or non-obvious over the prior art of record in view of the references, Kuroda; Kazuo et al. (US 5875163 A, hereafter referred to as Kuroda) in view of Verboom; Johannes J. et al. (US 5574706 A, hereafter referred to as Nagara) as applied in the last office action, filed 08/24/2004. Therefore, the rejection is maintained.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/014,392

Art Unit: 2133

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

1. Claims 1, 3, 10, 13, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, 22, 23, 26 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuroda; Kazuo et al. (US 5875163 A, hereafter referred to as Kuroda).

See the Non-Final Action filed 08/24/2004 for detailed action of prior rejections.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 2, 9, 11, 12, 15 and 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda; Kazuo et al. (US 5875163 A, hereafter referred to as Kuroda).

See the Non-Final Action filed 08/24/2004 for detailed action of prior rejections.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,392

Art Unit: 2133

Page 5

3. Claims 4-8, 18, 21, 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuroda; Kazuo et al. (US 5875163 A, hereafter referred to as Kuroda) in view of Verboom; Johannes J. et al. (US 5574706 A, hereafter referred to as Nagara).

See the Non-Final Action filed 08/24/2004 for detailed action of prior rejections.

#### Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Torres whose telephone number is (571) 272-3829. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

Art Unit: 2133

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217/9197 (toll-free).

Joseph D. Torres, PhD Primary Examiner Art Unit 2133